



Early View

Research letter

Vaccination against COVID-19: insight from arterial and venous thrombosis occurrence using data from VigiBase

David M. Smadja, Qun-Ying Yue, Richard Chocron, Olivier Sanchez, Agnes Lillo-Le Louet

Please cite this article as: Smadja DM, Yue Q-Y, Chocron R, *et al.* Vaccination against COVID-19: insight from arterial and venous thrombosis occurrence using data from VigiBase. *Eur Respir J* 2021; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00956-2021>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Vaccination against COVID-19: Insight from arterial and venous thrombosis occurrence using data from VigiBase

David M. SMADJA^{1,2}, Qun-Ying YUE³, Richard CHOCRON⁴, Olivier SANCHEZ^{2,5}, Agnes LILLO-LE LOUET⁶

- 1- Université de Paris, Innovative Therapies in Hemostasis, INSERM, F-75006 Paris, France, Hematology department and Biosurgical research lab (Carpentier Foundation), Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris-Centre (APHP-CUP), F-75015 Paris, France
- 2- F-CRIN INNOVTE network; Saint Etienne, France
- 3- Uppsala Monitoring Centre, Bredgränd 7, SE-753 20, Uppsala
- 4- Université de Paris, PARCC, INSERM U970, Emergency department, AH-HP-Centre Université de Paris (APHP-CUP), F-75015 Paris, France
- 5- Université de Paris, Innovative Therapies in Hemostasis, INSERM, F-75006 Paris, France, Respiratory Medicine Department and Biosurgical Research Lab (Carpentier Foundation), Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris-Centre (APHP-CUP), F-75015 Paris, France
- 6- Université de Paris, Innovative Therapies in Hemostasis, INSERM, F-75006 Paris, France, Pharmacovigilance department, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris-Centre (APHP-CUP), F-75015 Paris, France

Correspondence

Professor David M. SMADJA, Biosurgical Research Lab, European Georges Pompidou Hospital, 56 rue Leblanc, F-75015 Paris - Tel +33156093933; Fax +33156093393

david.smadja@aphp.fr

To the Editor:

COVID-19 is associated with a prothrombotic phenotype characterized by coagulopathy and endothelial dysfunction (1-4). Following some cases of thrombosis after vaccination, Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine (AZD1222) was temporarily suspended by some European countries. The European medicines agency (EMA) concluded that the benefits of the vaccine in combating COVID-19 outbreak continue to outweigh the risk of side effects. On March 19, 2021, Germany reported 13 cases of sinus or cerebral vein thrombosis with more than 1.6 million AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine doses administered. Some of these patients also had a heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT)-like syndrome, which does suggest an immunological event as one of the potential origin of thrombosis.

Here, we provide a descriptive analysis of the anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination thrombotic risk reported to the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Database for Individual Case Safety Reports (VigiBase). VigiBase is a databank developed and maintained by the Uppsala Monitoring Centre (UMC), Sweden. It is the world's largest pharmacovigilance database with submission from member states since the establishment of the WHO Program for International Drug Monitoring in 1968. VigiBase has been largely used in the past years to detect significant signals for adverse drug reaction (ADRs) (5). Some ADRs may be identified only after vaccine commercialization, in particular when they are very rare or have a delayed time to onset. Therefore, the safety monitoring of vaccines continues in post marketing surveillance. For example, during the mass vaccination campaign in 2009 for H1N1, several cases of narcolepsia have been reported during post marketing period (6).

In this context, our study aims at assessing clinical features of arterial and venous thrombosis after injection of three anti-COVID-19 vaccines (Comirnaty® from Pfizer-BioNtech; COVID-19 vaccine Moderna and AZD1222 from Astra Zeneca) (7-9) until March 16th, 2021. Between December 13th, 2020 to March 16th, 2021 (94 days), 361734967 people received vaccination in international COVID-19 vaccination dataset (10) and 2161 thrombotic events (795 venous and 1374 arterial thrombotic event) were reported in Vigibase on March 16th 2021. Spontaneous reports of thrombotic events are shared in 1197 for Comirnaty, 325 for COVID-19 vaccine Moderna and 639 for AZD1222 vaccine (**Table 1**). With these data, we were able to evaluate a reporting rate for venous (VTE) and arterial (ATE) thrombotic events cases during the time period (94 days) among the total number of people vaccinated using formula: number of thrombotic cases in the given time period divided by the total numbers of vaccinated person-days at risk during the same period. Thus, the rate was 0,21 [95% CI : 0,19-0,22] cases of thrombotic events per 1 million person vaccinated-days. For VTE and ATE, rates were respectively 0,075 [95% CI: 0.07-0.08] and 0,13 [95% CI: 0.12-0.14] cases per 1 million person vaccinated-days.

First and foremost, we have been recording an imbalance between VTE and arterial thrombotic ATE in mRNA vaccines, respectively 31.8% (381/1197) and 67.9% (813/1197) for Comirnaty; 24.6% (80/325) and 77.6% (253/325) for Moderna vaccine. Conversely, for AZD1222 we have been noticing a proportion of VTE and ATE evenly shared (52.2% (334/639) vs 48.2%) (308/639) respectively). The timeframe between vaccination and ATE is the same for three vaccines (median of 2 days), whereas we identified a significant difference between AZD1222 (median of 6 days) and both mRNA vaccines (median of 4 days with $p=0.007$ and 0.02 , respectively for

Comirnaty and Moderna vaccine) for VTE. Concerning ATE, the patients' profile for the three vaccines appear to be similar.

Moreover, we observed unexpected cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) for COVID-19 vaccine Moderna (0.9% (3/325) of events reported; time to event: 2 to 39 days; range: 30-37 years-old; 3 women), for AZD1222 (1.1% (7/639) of events reported; time to event: 2 to 16 days; range: 19-59 years-old; 3 women and 4 men) and with Comirnaty (0.4% (4/1197) of events reported; time to event: 1-10 days;range:30-84 years-old; 4 women). Three patients out of four with Comirnaty, all Moderna vaccine and six out of seven with AZ1222 had a particular form of CVT, called cerebral sinus vein thrombosis (CVST). Five out of seven CVT cases observed after AZD1222 were associated to thrombocytopenia. Moreover, we noticed thrombocytopenia associated to thrombotic events and/or disseminated intravascular coagulation and/or antiphospholipids antibodies for all three vaccines and one thrombocytopenia associated with HIT positive tests after AZD1222. Since we performed extraction of the WHO database, several other cases of HIT has been described by two groups after AZ1222 vaccination (11, 12). They proposed to name this phenomenon Vaccine-Induced Immune Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia (VITT).

There are several limitations in our data presented here. First, for pharmacovigilance purpose, the appropriate term is reporting rate. Incidence or prevalence are not appropriate since we have no information about precise denominator for each separate vaccine and about the extent of underreporting. Indeed, these pharmacovigilance spontaneous reports are part of the post marketing surveillance for drugs and underreporting of ADRs is well known (13). In a published

study, the median underreporting rate across 37 studies included was 94% (interquartile range 82-98%) even for serious/severe ADRs.

Second, the best way to evaluate thrombotic events in the vaccinated population should be to match to unvaccinated controls in a 1:1 ratio according to demographic and clinical characteristics (14). However, ADRs reported in VigiBase do not allow us to have this kind of paired data. Third, we could have an unusual reporting because of the novelty of the vaccine. Indeed, studies design may modify reporting of ADRs (15). Open-label studies have been described to overestimate the risk of vascular adverse events by at least 50% in contrast to double blind randomized trials (15). Pharmacovigilance spontaneous reporting is different from clinical trials but probably close to open-label studies for potential unusual estimation of thrombotic events that could be influenced by novelty of the drug, media interest and/or conflicting results in literature.

All in all, our data represents a hypothesis-generating study suggesting that thrombotic events, including CVT, might occur in association with all three vaccines, but this hypothesis requires further investigations including extensive clinical and biological studies. Benefits of the vaccine is a non-discussion point in COVID-19 outbreak epidemiology. However there is an urgent need for a prospective evaluation of coagulopathy and thrombotic events to fathom rare but serious side effects after COVID-19 vaccination and better characterize VIPIT and other thrombocytopenia associated or not with thrombotic events after the three vaccines.

References

1. Philippe A, Chocron R, Gendron N, Bory O, Beauvais A, Peron N, et al. Circulating Von Willebrand factor and high molecular weight multimers as markers of endothelial injury predict COVID-19 in-hospital mortality. *Angiogenesis*. 2021.
2. Jevnikar M, Sanchez O, Chocron R, Andronikof M, Raphael M, Meyrignac O, et al. Prevalence of pulmonary embolism in patients with COVID 19 at the time of hospital admission. *Eur Respir J*. 2021.
3. Huertas A, Montani D, Savale L, Pichon J, Tu L, Parent F, et al. Endothelial cell dysfunction: a major player in SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19)? *Eur Respir J*. 2020;56(1).
4. Chocron R, Galand V, Cellier J, Gendron N, Pommier T, Bory O, et al. Anticoagulation prior to hospitalization is a potential protective factor for COVID-19: insight from a French multicenter cohort study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021:e018288.
5. Bate A, Lindquist M, Edwards IR, Olsson S, Orre R, Lansner A, et al. A Bayesian neural network method for adverse drug reaction signal generation. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 1998;54(4):315-21.
6. Barker CI, Snape MD. Pandemic influenza A H1N1 vaccines and narcolepsy: vaccine safety surveillance in action. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2014;14(3):227-38.
7. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(27):2603-15.
8. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(5):403-16.
9. Voysey M, Costa Clemens SA, Madhi SA, Weckx LY, Folegatti PM, Aley PK, et al. Single-dose administration and the influence of the timing of the booster dose on immunogenicity and efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine: a pooled analysis of four randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10277):881-91.
10. <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations> [Internet]. 2021.
11. Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 Vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2021.
12. Schultz NH, Sorvoll IH, Michelsen AE, Munthe LA, Lund-Johansen F, Ahlen MT, et al. Thrombosis and Thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 Vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2021.
13. Hazell L, Shakir SA. Under-reporting of adverse drug reactions : a systematic review. *Drug Saf*. 2006;29(5):385-96.
14. Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, Miron O, Perchik S, Katz MA, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. *N Engl J Med*. 2021.
15. Trone JC, Ollier E, Chapelle C, Bertoletti L, Cucherat M, Mismetti P, et al. Statistical controversies in clinical research: limitations of open-label studies assessing antiangiogenic therapies with regard to evaluation of vascular adverse drug events-a meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol*. 2018;29(4):803-11.

Funding: David M. Smadja'COVID team has been funded with grants from the French national agency for research ANR SARCODO (Fondation de France) and Mécénat Covid AP-HP.

Conflict of interest: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest related to this work.

Acknowledgement: this publication describes information obtained from VigiBase. VigiBase, has information coming from a variety of sources, and the probability that the suspected adverse effect is drug-related is not the same in all cases. This information does not represent the opinion of the UMC or the World Health Organization.

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of patients described in the World Health Organization database of individual case safety reports, performed at the Uppsala Drug Monitoring Centre until March 16th for the three vaccine Comirnaty® from Pfizer-BioNtech, COVID-19 vaccine Moderna and Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine

| Comirnaty® from Pfizer-BioNtech, n=1197 cases, median age 76 yo (18-102) | | | COVID-19 vaccine Moderna, n=325 cases, median age: 72 yo (19-102) | | | Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine (AZD1222), n=639, median age: 67 yo (19-99) | | |
|--|-------|--|--|-------|---------------------------------------|--|-------|---------------------------------------|
| Patient sex | Count | Percent age | Patient sex | Count | Percent age | Patient sex | Count | Percent age |
| Female | 708 | 59,1 | Female | 173 | 53,2 | Female | 332 | 52 |
| Male | 483 | 40,4 | Male | 152 | 46,8 | Male | 291 | 45,5 |
| Unknown | 6 | 0,5 | | | | Unknown | 16 | 2,5 |
| Death | Count | Percent age | Death | Count | Percent age | Death | Count | Percent age |
| | 223 | 18,6 | | 53 | 16,3 | | 82 | 12,8 |
| Global Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 3 days (0-52) | | | Global Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 2days (0-63) | | | Global Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 5days (0-55) | | |
| Venous thrombotic events n=381/1197 (31,8%) | | | Venous thrombotic events n=80/325 (24,6%) | | | Venous thrombotic events n=334/639 (52,3%) | | |
| Age median (range): 62 (21-98) Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 4 days (0-50) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=1197) | Age median (range): 58,5 (19-96) Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 4 days (0-39) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=325) | Age median (range): 63 (18-99) Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 6 days (0-55) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=639) |
| Pulmonary Embolism | 211 | 17,6 | Pulmonary Embolism | 53 | 16,3 | Pulmonary Embolism | 115 | 18 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---|--|--------------|--|---|--------------|--|
| Lower limb thrombosis | 111 | 9,3 | Lower limb thrombosis | 13 | 4 | Lower limb thrombosis | 113 | 17,7 |
| Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis | 3 | 0,3 | Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis | 3 | 0,9 | Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis | 6 | 0,9 |
| Cerebral venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,1 | Undetermined venous thrombotic event | 10 | 3,1 | Cerebral venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,2 |
| Undetermined venous thrombotic event | 42 | 3,5 | Others | 1 | 0,3 | Undetermined venous thrombotic event | 92 | 14,4 |
| Others | 13 | 1,1 | | | | Others | 7 | 1,1 |
| Arterial thrombotic events n=813/1197 (67,9%) | | | Arterial thrombotic events n=253 (77,6%) | | | Arterial thrombotic events n=308 (48,2%) | | |
| Age median (range): 80 (18-102) Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 2days (0-52) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=1197) | Age median (range): 75 (21-102) Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 2 days (0-63) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=325) | Age median (range): 70 (21-99) Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 2 days (0-38) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=639) |
| Stroke | 561 | 46,9 | Stroke | 173 | 53,1 | Stroke | 219 | 34,3 |
| Acute myocardial infarction | 238 | 19,9 | Acute myocardial infarction | 67 | 20,6 | Acute myocardial infarction | 81 | 12,7 |
| Stroke and MI | 2 | 0,2 | Stroke and MI | 3 | 0,9 | Others (retinal, intestinal) | 8 | 1,3 |
| Others (limb, intestinal) | 12 | 1 | Others | 10 | 3,1 | | | |

| Concomitant Arterial and Venous thrombotic events n=10/1197 (0,8%) | | | Concomitant Arterial and Venous thrombotic events n=8/325 (2,4%) | | | Concomitant Arterial and Venous thrombotic events n=4/639 (0,6%) | | |
|--|-------|--|---|-------|---------------------------------------|--|-------|---------------------------------------|
| Age median (range): 70,5 (25-86) - Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 3,5 days (0-11) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=1197) | Age median (range): 56 (37-94) - Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 2days (0-22) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=325) | Age median (range): 57,5 (31-71) - Time to thrombotic event: median (range): 3day (1-12) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=639) |
| Acute myocardial infarction and Pulmonary Embolism | 4 | 0,3 | Stroke and Pulmonary Embolism | 6 | 1,85 | Stroke and Pulmonary Embolism | 1 | 0,15 |
| Stroke and Pulmonary Embolism | 3 | 0,3 | Stroke and lower limb thrombosis | 1 | 0,31 | Acute myocardial infarction and Pulmonary Embolism | 1 | 0,15 |
| Stroke and lower limb ischemia | 1 | 0,1 | Arterial and venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,31 | Pulmonary Embolism and multiple thrombosis | 1 | 0,15 |
| arterio-venous fistula thrombosis | 1 | 0,1 | | | | Acute myocardial infarction and venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,15 |
| Arterial limb ischemia and lower limb thrombosis | 1 | 0,1 | | | | | | |
| Associated thrombocytopenia and/or immunothrombosis disorders n=32/1197 (2,6%) | | | Associated thrombocytopenia and/or immunothrombosis disorders n=8/325 (2,4%) | | | Associated thrombocytopenia and/or immunothrombosis disorders n=14/639 (2,2%) | | |

| Age median (range): 56 (19-92)- Time to event: median (range): 4,5 days (0-25) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=1197) | Age median (range): 64 (51-77)-Time to event: median (range): 4days (0-10) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=325) | Age median (range): 46,5 (19-73)-Time to event: median (range): 8days (2-14) | Count | Percent age in cases reported (n=639) |
|---|--------------|---|---|--------------|--|---|--------------|--|
| Thrombocytopenia associated to Pulmonary Embolism | 2 | 0,2 | Thrombocytopenia associated to Pulmonary Embolism | 1 | 0,3 | Thrombocytopenia associated to Pulmonary Embolism | 3 | 0,5 |
| Thrombocytopenia associated to Acute myocardial infarction | 3 | 0,3 | positive lupus anticoagulant associated to Pulmonary Embolism | 1 | 0,3 | Thrombocytopenia associated to splenic venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,2 |
| Thrombocytopenia associated to stroke | 13 | 1,1 | positive lupus anticoagulant associated to stroke | 4 | 1,2 | Thrombocytopenia associated to stroke | 1 | 0,2 |
| Thrombocytopenia linked to purpura thrombotic thrombocytopenia | 7 | 0,6 | positive lupus anticoagulant associated to myocardial infarction | 2 | 0,6 | Thrombocytopenia with HIT-like mAb positive and multiple thrombosis | 1 | 0,2 |
| DIC | 2 | 0,2 | | | | Thrombocytopenia associated to cerebral venous sinus thrombosis | 4 | 0,6 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|--|--|---|---|-----|
| DIC positive lupus anticoagulant associated to visceral venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,1 | | | | Thrombocytopenia associated to cerebral venous thrombosis | 1 | 0,2 |
| positive lupus anticoagulant without thrombosis | 2 | 0,2 | | | | DIC and Pulmonary Embolism | 1 | 0,2 |
| positive lupus anticoagulant associated to Pulmonary Embolism | 2 | 0,2 | | | | DIC and stroke | 2 | 0,3 |

Yo: years old; MI: myocardial infarction; DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation; HIT: heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; mAb: antibody